

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/834,485	04/12/2001	Shivi Fotedar	3981-11	3564
20575	7590 09/20/2004		EXAMINER	
MARGER JOHNSON & MCCOLLOM PC			HO, DUC CHI	
1030 SW MORRISON PORTLAND, OR 97			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,	-		2665	
			DATE MAILED: 09/20/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·							
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/834,485	FOTEDAR ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	1				
	Duc C Ho	2665					
The MAILING DATE of this communicati Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence add	iress"				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicated of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, the Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a stion. ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of the y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC by statute, cause the application to become a statute.	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this cor ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n <u>12 April 2001</u> .						
· · · ·	This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for a	allowance except for formal ma	itters, prosecution as to the	merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice u	nder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are pending in the appli	cation.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 16-23 is/are wi	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>16-23</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15 and 24-33</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers	·						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Ex	caminer.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PT0	O-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc	uments have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority doc		· ·					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	n received in this National S	Stage				
application from the International I							
* See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified copies no	it received.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9	948) Paper No	o(s)/Mail Date					
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO- Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2</u>. 	/SB/08) 5)	Informal Patent Application (PTO- 	·152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/834,485 Page 2

Art Unit: 2665

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-15, and 24-33, drawn to connection having a plurality of nodes, classified in class 370, subclass 400.
- II. Claims 16-23, drawn to a gateway node, classified in class 370, subclass 401.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, each of the invention of the group I, and II can be used in a system for establishing connection in a network that does not necessarily utilize the features of the inventions of the other group. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purpose as indicated is proper.
- 4. During a telephone conversation with Stephen S. Ford (P:503-222-3613) on September 14-2004, a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of the group I, claims 1-15, and 24-33.
- 5. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 16-23 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 FR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Page 3

Art Unit: 2665

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102(e) that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
 - (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 7. Claims 1-12, 14-15, 24-26, and 28-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Tappan (U.S. 6,473,421).

Regarding claim 1, Tappan discloses a hierarchical label switching across multiple OSPF areas.

identifying an address for an egress node in the network (the router S-fig. 6 sends a packet to the router D by identifying the address of an egress domain router E-ASBR-fig. 6 in the network from the I-ASBR ingress domain router, see col. 5-line 62 to col. 6-line 50);

identifying a next hop in the network for the address (the E-ASBR-fig. 6 uses OSPF message, fig. 7-row 4 to I-ASBR using LSA (link-state-advertisement) to transmit one or more LSA from one router to a neighbor router, see col. 6-line 51 to col. 7-line 67);

associating a label value with the address (the MPLS label-fig. 7 is placed in the external route tag field, see col. 7-line 40 to col. 8-line 55); and

receiving data that includes the label value and then transferring the data to the next hop for the address (the ingress domain router I-ASBR-fig. 6 inherently receives the data includes

Art Unit: 2665

the label value, and transferring the data to a next hop neighbor router, until the data reaches the E-ASBR egress domain router).

Regarding claim 2, the routers in fig. 6 receive the address of the E-ASBR during a network layer flooding using the OSPF protocol, which identifies a router to its neighbor router, see col. 6-line 55 to col. 7-line 67. The data receives from the I-ASBR is transferred to its next hop router during a layer 2 point to point connection.

Regarding claim 3, in Tappan the transferring of data is independently.

Regarding claim 4, the body of the OSPF message- fig. 7 is payload of an IP datagram and forwarding the data to the next hop without using the IP destination address, see col. 6, lines 51-65.

Regarding claims 5-7, the routers in fig. 6 receive the address of the E-ASBR and the label via the OSPF flooding protocol.

Regarding claim 8, after the flooding protocol the OSPF message using the LSA to identify the next hop for the E-ABSR address.

Regarding claims 9, and 15, in Tappan the forwarding table of the label –switching router includes different label value with different egress node address, see col. 3, lines 3-41.

Regarding claim 10, in Tappan the E-ASBR receives the label value via a LSA packet.

Regarding claim 11, in Tappan the E-ASBR provides multiple label values in the LSA packet.

Regarding claim 12, in Tappan the top labels may be contained not only in MPLS headers but additionally, if the label-switching routers are implemented in ATM switches, ATM-cell virtual-channel-indicator fields. Consequently, the label could be configured to include a QOS.

Art Unit: 2665

Regarding claim 14, in Tappan the address of the E-ASBR is capable to be expressed in IP address, see col. 1-line 31 to col. 2-line 65. The data is an Ethernet frame-fig. 3, and the label value is the MPLS label.

Regarding claim 24, this claim has similar limitations as claims 1-2. Therefore, it is rejected under Tappan for the same reasons set forth in the rejection of claims 1-2.

Regarding claim 25, in Tappan the table in each intermediate router is able to inform the next hop router using the label assigned to the Ethernet frame, see col. 2, lines 36-49.

Regarding claim 26, in Tappan the ingress node is capable to be configured as a part of an Internet Service Provider network, see col. 2, lines 12-49.

Regarding claim 28, in Tappan the label is a MPLS label.

Regarding claim 29, please see the rejection of claims 1-2 for layer 3 flooding protocol.

Regarding claim 30, the E-ASBR sends a LSA to the intermediate nodes that contains the E-ASBR address and the label associated with the address, see col. 6-line 40 to col. 7-line 39.

Regarding claim 31, in Tappan the top labels may be contained not only in MPLS headers but additionally, if the label-switching routers are implemented in ATM switches, ATM-cell virtual-channel-indicator fields. Consequently, the label could be configured to include a QOS.

Regarding claim 32, the intermediate nodes between the I-ASBR-fig. 6 and E-ASBR having the same label value to the Ethernet frame over the interconnections.

Regarding claim 33, the intermediate nodes-fig. 6 is capable of forwarding the frames according to the label value independently of ingress input ports on the intermediate nodes receiving the Ethernet frames.

Art Unit: 2665

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Page 6

8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103© and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 10. Claim 13, and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tappan, in view of Hurren et al. (US 6,788, 681), hereinafter referred to as Hurren.

Regarding claim 13, Tappan discloses all claimed invention, except the label value is a VLAN Id value.

Hurren discloses virtual private networks and method for their operation. In Hurren a protocol that enables Ethernet LAN to be partitioned in multiple virtual LAN (VLANs) through the use of a VLAN tag carried in the header of each frame of data, see col. 1-line 64 to col. 2-line 10.

Art Unit: 2665

One skill in the art would recognize the advantage of using the label value being a VLAN Id for replacing the MPLS label so that a network is more compatible with another protocol such that to provide virtual LAN services to groups of customers.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time invention was made, to employ the teaching of having the label value being a VLAN Id value for replacing the MPLS label of the Tappan system such that the combination system will be more compatible with another protocol to provide virtual LAN services to groups of customers.

Conclusion

- 11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Mike et al. (US 6,771,662); Munoz et al. (US 6,741,585) are cited to show method and apparatus for providing virtual point to point connections in a network, which is considered pertinent to the claimed invention.
- 12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Duc Ho whose telephone number is (571) 272-3147. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:00 am to 3:30 pm.

If attempt to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Huy Vu, can be reached on (571) 272-3155.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2600.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2665

13. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

Page 8

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Patent Examiner

Duc Ho

09-15-04